

1.4 Ordered pairs (addendum)

Stephan Falke

August 25th 2006

1.4 Ordered pairs

Definition 1.4.5

Let a and b be objects. The ORDERED PAIR with a as the first object and b as the second object, written $(a, b)_K$, is the set

$$\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}.$$

Remark 1.4.6

The subscript in $(a, b)_K$ is in honor of Kazimierz Kuratowski who first proposed this definition in 1921.

With this definition of ordered pairs, equality of ordered pairs reduces to equality of sets, hence Axiom 1.4.2 becomes a proposition.

Proposition 1.4.7

Let a, b, c, d be objects. Then the following are equivalent:

1. $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$
2. $a = c$ and $b = d$

Proof.

“2. \implies 1.”: Assume $a = c$ and $b = d$. Then $\{a\} = \{c\}$ and $\{a, b\} = \{c, d\}$. Thus, $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\}$, i.e. $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$.

“1. \implies 2.”: Assume $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$. We make a case distinction on whether $a = b$ or not.

$a = b$: If $a = b$, then $(a, b)_K = \{\{a\}, \{a, a\}\} = \{\{a\}, \{a\}\} = \{\{a\}\}$. Since $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$ we have $(c, d)_K = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\} = \{\{a\}\}$. Thus, $\{c\} = \{a\}$ and $\{c, d\} = \{a\}$. Therefore, $c = d = a = b$.

$a \neq b$: Since $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$ we have $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\}$.

If $\{c\} = \{a, b\}$, then $c = a = b$, which contradicts $a \neq b$. Thus, $\{c\} = \{a\}$ and $c = a$.

If $\{c, d\} = \{a\}$, then $c = d = a$ and $(c, d)_K = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\} = \{\{a\}, \{a, a\}\} = \{\{a\}, \{a\}\} = \{\{a\}\}$. Since $a \neq b$, we have $\{\{a\}\} \neq \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$, which contradicts $(a, b)_K = (c, d)_K$. Thus, $\{c, d\} = \{a, b\}$.

If $d = a$, then $\{c, d\} = \{c, a\} = \{a, a\} = \{a\}$, which we just showed to be impossible. Thus, $d = b$.

In summary, $a = c$ and $b = d$. □