

FOUR PROBLEMS IN THE EQUATIONAL THEORY OF n -POTENT BCK-ALGEBRAS

A *BCK-algebra* is an algebra $\langle A; *, 0 \rangle$ of type $\langle 2, 0 \rangle$ satisfying the following collection of identities and quasi-identities:

$$\begin{aligned} ((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) &\approx \mathbf{0} \\ x * \mathbf{0} &\approx x \\ \mathbf{0} * x &\approx \mathbf{0} \end{aligned}$$

$$x * y \approx \mathbf{0} \ \& \ y * x \approx \mathbf{0} \ \supset \ x \approx y.$$

By a result of Wroński, the class BCK of all BCK-algebras is not a variety.

For any integer $n \geq 1$, consider the terms $x * y^n$ defined inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} x * y^0 &:= x \\ x * y^{k+1} &:= (x * y^k) * y \quad \text{for } k \geq 1. \end{aligned}$$

A class of BCK-algebras is said to be $n + 1$ -*potent*, $1 \leq n \in \omega$, if it satisfies the identity:

$$xy^{n+1} \approx xy^n \tag{E}_n$$

For each integer $n \geq 1$, the class of all BCK-algebras satisfying $(E)_n$ is equationally definable. Every finite BCK-algebra satisfies $(E)_n$ for some $n \geq -1$.

An *implicative BCK-algebra* is a BCK-algebra satisfying the identity

$$x * (y * x) \approx x.$$

Let \mathbf{A} be a BCK-algebra satisfying $(E)_1$. For all $a, b \in A$, let:

$$a/b := a * ((a * (a * b)) * (b * a)).$$

By a result of Guzmán, the term reduct $\langle A; /, 0 \rangle$ is an implicative BCK-algebra.

Problem: Let \mathbf{A} be a BCK-algebra satisfying (E_n) . For all $a, b \in A$, let:

$$\begin{aligned} a \cap b &:= ((a * (a * b)^n) * (b * a)^n) \\ a/b &:= a * (a \cap b). \end{aligned}$$

Is the term reduct $\langle A; \cap, 0 \rangle$ a meet semilattice with zero?

Is the term reduct $\langle A; /, 0 \rangle$ an implicative BCK-algebra?

A BCK-algebra \mathbf{A} is said to be *right ideal commutative* if it satisfies the identity:

$$(z * x) * ((z * x) * (z * y)) \approx (z * y) * ((z * y) * (z * x)).$$

The class of all right ideal commutative BCK-algebras is *not* equationally definable.

A BCK-algebra \mathbf{A} is said to have *Condition (J)* if it satisfies the identity:

$$x * (x * (y * (y * x))) \approx y * (y * (x * (x * y))).$$

Clearly the class of all BCK-algebras having Condition (J) is a variety.

For each $n \in \{-1\} \cup \omega$, define the term $j_n(x, y)$ inductively by

$$\begin{aligned} j_{-1}(x, y) &:= x, \\ j_{2n}(x, y) &:= y * (y * (j_{2n-1}(x, y))), \\ j_{2n+1}(x, y) &:= x * (x * (j_{2n}(x, y))). \end{aligned}$$

and consider the identity:

$$j_n(x, y) \approx j_n(y, x). \quad (J_n)$$

Clearly each (J_n) , $n \geq 1$, generalises Condition (J). The class of all BCK-algebras satisfying (J_n) is a variety for each $n \in \{-1\} \cup \omega$. Moreover, every finite BCK-algebra satisfies (J_n) for some $n \geq -1$.

Problem: Let \mathbf{A} be a right ideal commutative BCK-algebra. Suppose that $\mathbf{A} \models (E_n)$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. Is it the case that $\mathbf{A} \models (J_n)$?

It is quite plausible that the preceding problem has a positive solution. Assume for the sake of argument that it has. Let \mathbf{A} be a right ideal commutative BCK-algebra satisfying (E_n) for any integer $n \geq 1$. For all $a, b \in A$, let:

$$a \wedge b := j_n(a, b).$$

Problem: Let \mathbf{A} be a right ideal commutative BCK-algebra such that $\mathbf{A} \models (E_n)$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. Is the term reduct $\langle A; \wedge, 0 \rangle$ a meet semilattice with zero?

Assume for the sake of argument that the preceding problem also has a positive solution. A meet semilattice with zero for which the subalgebra $[\mathbf{0}, a]$ is pseudocomplemented for each $a \in A$ is said to be a *locally pseudocomplemented meet semilattice with zero*. The class of all locally pseudocomplemented meet semilattices with zero is a variety, axiomatised by a set of identities defining meet semilattices with zero together with the identities:

$$\begin{aligned} x \setminus (x \wedge y) &\approx x \setminus y \\ x \wedge (y \setminus z) &\approx (x \setminus z) \wedge (y \setminus z) \\ (x \setminus y) \wedge y &\approx \mathbf{0} \\ x \setminus \mathbf{0} &\approx x. \end{aligned}$$

Let \mathbf{A} be a right ideal commutative BCK-algebra satisfying (E_n) for any integer $n \geq 1$. For all $a, b \in A$, let:

$$\begin{aligned} a - b &:= a * b^n \\ a \setminus b &:= a - (a \wedge b). \end{aligned}$$

Problem: Let \mathbf{A} be a right ideal commutative BCK-algebra such that $\mathbf{A} \models (E_n)$ for any integer $n \geq 1$. Is the term reduct $\langle A; \wedge, \setminus, 0 \rangle$ a locally pseudocomplemented meet semilattice with zero?