

# Asymptotic Analysis (Review)

Jared Saia  
University of New Mexico

# Outline

- Background
- Asymptotic Analysis
- L'Hopital's Rule
- Log Facts

# Why study algorithms?

*“Seven years of College down the toilet” - John Belushi in Animal House*

Can you get a job without knowing algorithms? Yes, but:

- You won't understand why software systems work the way they do
- You won't learn the fundamentals of systematic thinking (aka computation/problem solving)
- You'll have less fun!

## Why study algorithms? (II)

- Almost all big companies want programmers with knowledge of algorithms: Google, Facebook, Amazon, Oracle, Yahoo, Sandia, Los Alamos, etc.
- In most programming job interviews, they will ask you several questions about algorithms and/or data structures
- Your knowledge of algorithms will set you apart from the large masses of interviewees who know only how to program
- If you want to start your own company: many startups are successful because they've found better algorithms for solving a problem (e.g. Google, OpenAI, Akamai, etc.)

## Why Study Algorithms? (III)

- You'll improve your research skills in almost any area
- You'll write better, faster code
- You'll learn to think more abstractly and mathematically
- It's one of the most challenging and interesting area of CS!

# — A Real Job Interview Question —

The following is a real job interview question (thanks to Maksim Noy):

- You are given an array with integers between 1 and 1,000,000.
- All integers between 1 and 1,000,000 are in the array at least once, and one of those integers is in the array twice
- Q: Can you determine which integer is in the array twice?  
Can you do it while iterating through the array only once?

# Solution

- Ideas on how to solve this problem?? What if we allowed multiple iterations?

# Naive Algorithm

- Create a new array of ints between 1 and 1,000,000, which we'll use to count the occurrences of each number. Initialize all entries to 0
- Go through the input array and each time a number is seen, update its count in the new array
- Go through the count array and see which number occurs twice.
- Return this number



# Naive Algorithm Analysis

- Q: How long will this algorithm take?
- A: We iterate through the numbers 1 to 1,000,000 *three* times!
- Note that we also use up a lot of space with the extra array
- This is wasteful of time and space, particularly as the input array gets very large (e.g. it might be a huge data stream)
- Q: Can we do better?

## Ideas for a better Algorithm

- Note that  $\sum_{i=1}^n i = (n+1)n/2$
- Let  $S$  be the sum of the input array
- Let  $x$  be the value of the repeated number
- Then  $S = (1,000,000 + 1)1,000,000/2 + x$
- Thus  $x = S - (1,000,000 + 1)1,000,000/2$

## A better Algorithm

- Iterate through the input array, summing up all the numbers, let  $S$  be this sum
- Let  $x = S - (1,000,000 + 1)1,000,000/2$
- Return  $x$

# Analysis

- This algorithm iterates through the input array just once
- It uses up essentially no extra space
- It is at least three times faster than the naive algorithm
- Further, if the input array is so large that it won't fit in memory, this is the only algorithm which will work!
- These time and space bounds are the best possible

## Take Away

- Designing good algorithms matters!
- Not always this easy to improve an algorithm
- However, with some thought and work, you can *almost always* get a better algorithm than the naive approach

# How to analyze an algorithm?

- There are several resource bounds we could be concerned about: time, space, communication bandwidth, logic gates, etc.
- However, we are usually most concerned about time
- Recall that algorithms are independent of programming languages and machine types
- Q: So how do we measure resource bounds of algorithms

# Random-access machine model

- We will use RAM model of computation in this class
- All instructions operate in serial
- All basic operations (e.g. add, multiply, compare, read, store, etc.) take unit time
- All “atomic” data (chars, ints, doubles, pointers, etc.) take unit space

# Worst Case Analysis

- We'll generally be pessimistic when we evaluate resource bounds
- We'll evaluate the run time of the algorithm on the worst possible input sequence
- Amazingly, in most cases, we'll still be able to get pretty good bounds
- Justification: The “average case” is often about as bad as the worst case.



## Example Analysis

- Let's consider the more general problem of the duplicate number problem, where the numbers are 1 to  $n$  instead of 1 to 1,000,000

## Algorithm 1

- Create a new “count” array of ints of size  $n$ , which we’ll use to count the occurrences of each number. Initialize all entries to 0
- Go through the input array and each time a number is seen, update its count in the “count” array
- As soon as a number is seen in the input array which has already been counted once, return this number

## Algorithm 2

- Iterate through the input array, summing up all the numbers, let  $S$  be this sum
- Let  $x = S - (n + 1)n/2$
- Return  $x$

## Example Analysis: Time

- Worst case: Algorithm 1 does  $5 * n$  operations ( $n$  inits to 0 in “count” array,  $n$  reads of input array,  $n$  reads of “count” array (to see if value is 1),  $n$  increments, and  $n$  stores into count array)
- Worst case: Algorithm 2 does  $2 * n + 4$  operations ( $n$  reads of input array,  $n$  additions to value  $S$ , 4 computations to determine  $x$  given  $S$ )

## Example Analysis: Space

- Worst Case: Algorithm 1 uses  $n$  additional units of space to store the “count” array
- Worst Case: Algorithm 2 uses 2 additional units of space

## A Simpler Analysis

- Analysis above can be tedious for more complicated algorithms
- In many cases, we don't care about constants.  $5n$  is about the same as  $2n + 4$  which is about the same as  $an + b$  for any constants  $a$  and  $b$
- However we do still care about the difference in space:  $n$  is very different from  $2$
- Asymptotic analysis is the solution to removing the tedium but ensuring good analysis

# Asymptotic analysis?

- A tool for analyzing time and space usage of algorithms
- Assumes input size is a variable, say  $n$ , and gives time and space bounds as a function of  $n$
- Ignores multiplicative and additive constants
- Concerned only with the *rate* of growth
- E.g. Treats run times of  $n$ ,  $10,000 * n + 2000$ , and  $.5n + 2$  all the same (We use the term  $O(n)$  to refer to all of them)

## What is Asymptotic Analysis?(II)

- Informally,  $O$  notation is the leading (i.e. quickest growing) term of a formula with the coefficient stripped off
- $O$  is sort of a relaxed version of " $\leq$ "
- E.g.  $n$  is  $O(n)$  and  $n$  is also  $O(n^2)$
- By convention, we use the smallest possible  $O$  value i.e. we say  $n$  is  $O(n)$  rather than  $n$  is  $O(n^2)$



## More Examples

- E.g.  $n$ ,  $10,000n - 2000$ , and  $.5n + 2$  are all  $O(n)$
- $n + \log n$ ,  $n - \sqrt{n}$  are  $O(n)$
- $n^2 + n + \log n$ ,  $10n^2 + n - \sqrt{n}$  are  $O(n^2)$
- $n \log n + 10n$  is  $O(n \log n)$
- $10 * \log^2 n$  is  $O(\log^2 n)$
- $n\sqrt{n} + n \log n + 10n$  is  $O(n\sqrt{n})$
- $10,000$ ,  $2^{50}$  and  $4$  are  $O(1)$

## More Examples

- Algorithm 1 and 2 both take time  $O(n)$
- Algorithm 1 uses  $O(n)$  extra space
- But, Algorithm 2 uses  $O(1)$  extra space

## Formal Defn of Big-O

- A function  $f(n)$  is  $O(g(n))$  if there exist positive constants  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

## Example

- Let's show that  $f(n) = 10n + 100$  is  $O(g(n))$  where  $g(n) = n$
- We need to give constants  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$
- In other words, we need constants  $c$  and  $n_0$  such that  $10n + 100 \leq cn$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

## Example

- We can solve for appropriate constants:

$$10n + 100 \leq cn \quad (1)$$

$$10 + 100/n \leq c \quad (2)$$

- So if  $n > 1$ , then  $c$  should be greater than 110.
- In other words, for all  $n > 1$ ,  $10n + 100 \leq 110n$
- So  $10n + 100$  is  $O(n)$

# Questions

Express the following in  $O$  notation

- $n^3/1000 - 100n^2 - 100n + 3$
- $\log n + 100$
- $10 * \log^2 n + 100$
- $\sum_{i=1}^n i$

## Relatives of big-O

The following are relatives of big-O:

|          |        |
|----------|--------|
| $O$      | $\leq$ |
| $\Theta$ | $=$    |
| $\Omega$ | $\geq$ |
| $o$      | $<$    |
| $\omega$ | $>$    |

## Formal Defns

- $O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) \leq cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$
- $\Theta(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c_1, c_2, \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq c_1g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2g(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$
- $\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{there exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n) \leq f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$



## Formal Defns (II)

- $o(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0 \text{ there exists } n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq f(n) < cg(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$
- $\omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{for any positive constant } c > 0 \text{ there exists } n_0 > 0 \text{ such that } 0 \leq cg(n) < f(n) \text{ for all } n \geq n_0\}$

## Relatives of big-O

When would you use each of these? Examples:

- $O$  “ $\leq$ ” This algorithm is  $O(n^2)$  (i.e. worst case is  $\Theta(n^2)$ )
- $\Theta$  “ $=$ ” This algorithm is  $\Theta(n)$  (best and worst case are  $\Theta(n)$ )
- $\Omega$  “ $\geq$ ” Any comparison-based algorithm for sorting is  $\Omega(n \log n)$
- $o$  “ $<$ ” Can you write an algorithm for sorting that is  $o(n^2)$ ?
- $\omega$  “ $>$ ” This algorithm is not linear, it can take time  $\omega(n)$

## Rule of Thumb

- Let  $f(n)$ ,  $g(n)$  be two functions of  $n$
- Let  $f_1(n)$ , be the fastest growing term of  $f(n)$ , stripped of its coefficient.
- Let  $g_1(n)$ , be the fastest growing term of  $g(n)$ , stripped of its coefficient.

Then we can say:

- If  $f_1(n) \leq g_1(n)$  then  $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- If  $f_1(n) \geq g_1(n)$  then  $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- If  $f_1(n) = g_1(n)$  then  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$
- If  $f_1(n) < g_1(n)$  then  $f(n) = o(g(n))$
- If  $f_1(n) > g_1(n)$  then  $f(n) = \omega(g(n))$

## More Examples

The following are all true statements:

- $\sum_{i=1}^n i^2$  is  $O(n^3)$ ,  $\Omega(n^3)$  and  $\Theta(n^3)$
- $\log n$  is  $o(\sqrt{n})$
- $\log n$  is  $o(\log^2 n)$
- $10,000n^2 + 25n$  is  $\Theta(n^2)$

# Problems

True or False? (Justify your answer)

- $n^3 + 4$  is  $\omega(n^2)$
- $n \log n^3$  is  $\Theta(n \log n)$
- $\log^3 5n^2$  is  $\Theta(\log n)$
- $10^{-10}n^2 + n$  is  $\Theta(n)$
- $n \log n$  is  $\Omega(n)$
- $n^3 + 4$  is  $o(n^4)$

## Another Example

- Let  $f(n) = 10 \log^2 n + \log n$ ,  $g(n) = \log^2 n$ . Let's show that  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ .
- We want positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  and  $n_0$  such that  $0 \leq c_1 g(n) \leq f(n) \leq c_2 g(n)$  for all  $n \geq n_0$

$$0 \leq c_1 \log^2 n \leq 10 \log^2 n + \log n \leq c_2 \log^2 n$$

Dividing by  $\log^2 n$ , we get:

$$0 \leq c_1 \leq 10 + 1/\log n \leq c_2$$

- If we choose  $c_1 = 1$ ,  $c_2 = 11$  and  $n_0 = 2$ , then the above inequality will hold for all  $n \geq n_0$

## At-Home Exercise

Show that for  $f(n) = n + 100$  and  $g(n) = (1/2)n^2$ , that  $f(n) \neq \Theta(g(n))$

- What statement would be true if  $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$  ?
- Show that this statement can not be true.

# L'Hopital

For any functions  $f(n)$  and  $g(n)$  which approach infinity and are differentiable, L'Hopital tells us that:

- $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f'(n)}{g'(n)}$
- This can be useful, when proving  $o()$  and  $\omega()$  relations



## Example

- How to prove that  $\ln n = o(\sqrt{n})$ ?
- Let  $f(n) = \ln n$  and  $g(n) = \sqrt{n}$
- Then  $f'(n) = 1/n$  and  $g'(n) = (1/2)n^{-1/2}$
- So we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\sqrt{n}} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1/n}{(1/2)n^{-1/2}} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{n^{1/2}} \\ &= 0\end{aligned}$$

- Thus  $\sqrt{n}$  grows faster than  $\ln n$  and so  $\ln n = O(\sqrt{n})$

## All about logs

*It rolls down stairs alone or in pairs,  
and over your neighbor's dog,  
it's great for a snack or to put on your back,  
it's log, log, log!*

- *"The Log Song" from the Ren and Stimpy Show*

- The log function shows up very frequently in algorithm analysis
- As computer scientists, when we use log, we'll mean  $\log_2$  (i.e. if no base is given, assume base 2)

## Definition

- $\log_x y$  is by definition the value  $z$  such that  $x^z = y$
- $x^{\log_x y} = y$  by definition

## Examples

- $\log 1 = 0$
- $\log 2 = 1$
- $\log 32 = 5$
- $\log 2^k = k$

Note:  $\log n$  is way, way smaller than  $n$  for large values of  $n$

# Examples

- $\log_3 9 = 2$
- $\log_5 125 = 3$
- $\log_4 16 = 2$
- $\log_{24} 24^{100} = 100$

# Facts about exponents

Recall that:

- $(x^y)^z = x^{yz}$
- $x^y x^z = x^{y+z}$

From these, we can derive some facts about logs

## Facts about logs

To prove both equations, raise both sides to the power of 2, and use facts about exponents

- Fact 1:  $\log(xy) = \log x + \log y$
- Fact 2:  $\log a^c = c \log a$

**Memorize these two facts**

## \_\_\_\_\_ Incredibly useful fact about logs \_\_\_\_\_

- Fact 3:  $\log_c a = \log a / \log c$

To prove this, consider the equation  $a = c^{\log_c a}$ , take  $\log_2$  of both sides, and use Fact 2. **Memorize this fact**



## Log facts to memorize

- Fact 1:  $\log(xy) = \log x + \log y$
- Fact 2:  $\log a^c = c \log a$
- Fact 3:  $\log_c a = \log a / \log c$

These facts are sufficient for all your logarithm needs. (You just need to figure out how to use them)

## Logs and $O$ notation

- Note that  $\log_8 n = \log n / \log 8$ .
- Note that  $\log_{600} n^{200} = 200 * \log n / \log 600$ .
- Note that  $\log_{100000} 30 * n^2 = 2 * \log n / \log 100000 + \log 30 / \log 100000$
- Thus,  $\log_8 n$ ,  $\log_{600} n^{600}$ , and  $\log_{100000} 30 * n^2$  are all  $O(\log n)$
- In general, for any constants  $k_1$  and  $k_2$ ,  $\log_{k_1} n^{k_2} = k_2 \log n / \log k_1$ , which is just  $O(\log n)$

## Take Away

- All log functions of form  $k_1 \log_{k_2} k_3 * n^{k_4}$  for constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3$  and  $k_4$  are  $O(\log n)$
- For this reason, we don't really “care” about the base of the log function when we do asymptotic notation
- Thus, binary search, ternary search and k-ary search all take  $O(\log n)$  time

## Important Note

- $\log^2 n = (\log n)^2$
- $\log^2 n$  is  $O(\log^2 n)$ , *not*  $O(\log n)$
- This is true since  $\log^2 n$  grows asymptotically faster than  $\log n$
- All log functions of form  $k_1 \log_{k_3}^{k_2} k_4 * n^{k_5}$  for constants  $k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4$  and  $k_5$  are  $O(\log^{k_2} n)$

## An Exercise

Simplify and give  $O$  notation for the following functions. In the big- $O$  notation, write all logs base 2:

- $\log 10n^2$
- $\log^2 n^4$
- $2^{\log_4 n}$
- $\log \log \sqrt{n}$

# Does big-O really matter?

Let  $n = 100000$  and  $\Delta t = 1\mu s$

|             |                         |
|-------------|-------------------------|
| $\log n$    | $1.7 * 10^{-5}$ seconds |
| $\sqrt{n}$  | $3.2 * 10^{-4}$ seconds |
| $n$         | .1 seconds              |
| $n \log n$  | 1.2 seconds             |
| $n\sqrt{n}$ | 31.6 seconds            |
| $n^2$       | 2.8 hours               |
| $n^3$       | 31.7 years              |
| $2^n$       | > 1 century             |

(from Classic Data Structures in C++ by Timothy Budd)